

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/692,747	10/18/2000	Craig L. Ogg	39478/RRT/S850	7075
23363 75	90 03/17/2005		EXAMINER	
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP PO BOX 7068 PASADENA, CA 91109-7068			HEWITT II, CALVIN L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3621	
			DATE MAILED: 03/17/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		22	÷			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
$\emptyset$	Office Action Summan	09/692,747	OGG ET AL.			
1	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit ·			
		Calvin L Hewitt II	3621			
Period	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
TH - E a - If - If - A	SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY E MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: fiter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period value to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed  ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[2	)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 February 2005</u> .					
2a)[	<u> </u>					
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispos	sition of Claims					
4)[	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
_	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)[	Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are rejected.					
7)L						
8)L	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applic	ation Papers	·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)[	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
445	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
;	a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
•	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachm	ent(s)					
_	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 No	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	` Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
3) ∐ Inf Pa	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) per No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/692,747

Art Unit: 3621

## Status of Claims

1. Claims 1-45 have been examined.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-5, 7-19, 21-31, 33-30 and 41-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.
   103(a) as being unpatentable over Sudia, U.S. Patent No. 6,009,177.

As per claims 1-5, 7-19, 21-31, 33-30 and 41-45, Sudia teaches a digital communications security system comprising:

- a user using one or more computers (column 1, lines 20-58; column 2, lines 55-63; column 13, lines 30-63; column 16, lines 9-34; column 21, lines 15-53)
- a secret key for identifying a first computer and registering a user
- a remote system that communicates with one or more user computers and receives user information and a secret key for registering a user (i.e. registering a user with an online system) (column/line 17/29-18/11)

Application/Control Number: 09/692,747 Page 3

. Art Unit: 3621

a user that uses said first computer for second and subsequent
 communications with the remote system (column 21, lines 15-53)

- a secret key that comprises an encrypted randomly generated hash message authentication key that is generated at the time of registration (column/line 17/50-18/11; column 25, lines 27-51)
- a secret key that comprises an encrypted randomly generated hash message authentication key for digitally signing electronic communications thereby authenticating the user, storing said key at the user computer and changing said key at periodic intervals (column 15, lines 45-58; column 17, lines 37-48 and 55-64; column 21, lines 40-54; column 41, lines 15-43)

Applicant has amended the claims 1, 16 and 29 to include the language of a re-registration wizard for requiring a user to re-register if a second computer used to access the system is physically different than a first registered computer. Sudia teaches a user computer sending an encrypted secret key to the remote system that decrypts and stores the secret key (column/line 17/50-18/11) as part of a method for receiving an escrow certificate. Sudia specifically requires a user to posses a valid escrow certificate in order to access the system (e.g. encrypt and decrypt communications) (column 21, lines 15-20 and 27-40). Therefore, Sudia teaches Applicant's "re-registration" wizard if a user tries to access the system using a second computer that lacks a valid certificate and is different from

Application/Control Number: 09/692,747

/Art Unit: 3621

a recently registered computer that has obtained such a certificate (column 17, lines 29-49; column/line 22/63-23/12).

Sudia does not explicitly recite the type of keys used by the remote system to perform such a function. However, as Sudia recites symmetric key encryption (shared key), encryption using session keys, asymmetric encryption (public/private or secret keys used for decryption/encryption or encryption/decryption), the specific methods of Diffie-Hellman, RSA, Micali, DES, etc. It would have been at least obvious for one of ordinary skill to choose any of the disclosed methods by Sudia to enable to parties to communicate cryptographically.

Limitations identifying the type of VBI used or system with which a user is registering is merely non-functional descriptive material and, non-functional descriptive material cannot render non-obvious an invention that would have been otherwise been obvious (In re Gulack, 703 F.2d 1381, 1385, 217 USPQ 401, 404 (Fed. Cir. 1983).

Regarding postal security devices, the teachings of Sudia are broadly applied to trusted devices that comprise an embedded microprocessor, input-output interface, memory and optionally a cryptographic co-processor (column 13, lines 30-63). A PSD is an element of the set of "trusted devices" as it comprises the features identified above. In addition, PSD's print "value bearing

Application/Control Number: 09/692,747

/Art Unit: 3621

instruments" (VBI). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to apply the teachings of Sudia to trusted devices such as PSDs.

Sudia does not explicitly recite user computers communicating with a system that is a server system. However, Sudia teaches a user first computer communicating with another user computer (column 21, lines 27-29), securing digital communications (column 2, lines 55-63) and distributed data processing systems such as those used for distributing electronic mail (column 1, lines 20-40), hence, it is at least obvious to one of ordinary skill for the user computer to communicate with the escrow agent computer system (i.e. remote system) over computer network (figures 15 and 16) such as the internet.

4. Claims 6, 20, 32, and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sudia, U.S. Patent No. 6,009,177 in view of Ote et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,023,506.

As per claims 6, 20, 32, and 40, Sudia teaches a digital communications security system that uses encryption keys for encrypted user computer specific information such as a secret key comprising an encrypted randomly generated hash message authentication key that is generated at the time of registration (column/line 17/50-18/11; column 25, lines 27-51). However, Sudia does not explicitly recite a specific encryption method for encrypting the user computer specific information. Ote et al. teach a method for generating an encryption key

using a user pass-phrase (abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to combine the teachings of Sudia and Ote et al. in order to reduce the burden on users regarding the management of encrypting information ('506, column/line 1/65-2/6).

## Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Calvin Loyd Hewitt II whose telephone number is (703) 308-8057. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, James P. Trammell, can be reached at (703) 305-9768.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

c/o Technology Center 2100

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 305-7687 (for formal communications intended for entry and after-final communications),

or:

/Art Unit: 3621

(703) 746-5532 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, 7th Floor Receptionist.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)

\_308-1113.

Calvin Loyd Hewitt

March 10, 2005